UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,209	01/14/2005	JeHo Nam	51876P803	3733
8791 7590 11/24/2009 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNDYVALE CA 04085 4040			EXAMINER	
			PE, GEEPY	
SUNNI VALE,	SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/522,209	NAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Geepy Pe	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Sec</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 35-64 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 35-64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
·· _					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2005 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	ite			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/522,209 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. As was agreed upon during the interview of 9/15/09, the instant case is reopened.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims **35-64** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garcia (U.S. Pat. 5,510,832; already of record, as was mentioned 12/3/08).

Re. **claim 35**, Garcia teaches a stereoscopic adaptation method (Garcia: Title) comprising the steps of: stereoscopically adapting video data source according to user preference information included in usage environment information (Garcia: col. 7, lines 35-66); and outputting the adapted video data source (Garcia: col. 4, lines 38-39; Fig. 1).

Re. **claim 36**, Garcia teaches that the video data source includes contents metadata for describing video contents and information of the video contents (Garcia: col. 5, lines 34-37: i.e., section (b) would include metadata that describes the video contents and information).

Re. **claim 37**, Garcia teaches that the stereoscopic adaptation is converting twodimensional video into three- dimensional stereoscopic video and the user preference information includes preferred parallax information (Garcia: col. 3, lines 40-44). Art Unit: 2621

Re. **claim 38**, Garcia teaches that the stereoscopic adaptation is converting two-dimensional video into three-dimensional stereoscopic video and the user preference information includes preferred information about maximum number of delayed frame (Garcia: col. 12, lines 13-15).

Re. **claim 39**, Garcia teaches that the stereoscopic adaptation is converting two-dimensional video into three-dimensional stereoscopic video and the user preference information includes preferred information about three-dimensional depth range (Garcia: col. 3, lines 30-44).

Re. **claim 40**, Garcia teaches that the depth range is a distance between a monitor screen and an object in three- dimensional video (Garcia: col. 1, lines 36-44).

Re. **claim 41**, Garcia teaches that the stereoscopic adaptation is converting three-dimensional stereoscopic video into two-dimensional video and the user preference information includes preferred video information between left video and fight video of the three-dimensional stereoscopic video (Garcia: col. 1, lines 45-50).

Re. **claim 42**, Garcia teaches that the usage environment information includes capability information of a user terminal describing whether or not the user terminal is three-dimensional stereoscopic (Garcia: col. 6, lines 33-38).

Re. **claim 43**, Garcia teaches that the usage environment information includes capability information of a user terminal describing decoding capability and rendering method of the user terminal (Garcia: col. 6, lines 41-44 & 63-64).

Re. **claim 44**, Garcia does not teach that the rendering method is classified into classification group including interlaced, sync-double, page-flipping, red-blue anaglyph, red-

Art Unit: 2621

cyan anaglyph, or red- yellow anaglyph method (Garcia: col. 11, lines 16-36: i.e., sync-double or "line-doubling" as referred to within Garcia).

Re. **claims 45 and 55**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 35 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 46 and 56**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 36 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 47 and 57**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 37 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 48 and 58**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 38 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 49 and 59**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 39 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 50 and 60**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 40 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 51 and 61**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 41 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 52 and 62**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 42 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 53 and 63**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 43 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Re. **claims 54 and 64**, the claim(s) recites analogous limitations to claim(s) 44 above, and is/are therefore rejected on the same premise.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,209 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Palm et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,661,518) discussing analyphs.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Geepy Pe whose telephone number is (571)-270-3703. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00AM - 3:30PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/G. P./

/Geepy Pe/

Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Andy S. Rao/

Application/Control Number: 10/522,209

Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621 November 20, 2009